

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,979	08/30/2001	Naoki Matsuoka	100794-11772 (FUJG 18.949	7690	
26304	7590 04/19/2005		EXAMINER		
KATTEN MUCHIN ZAVIS ROSENMAN 575 MADISON AVENUE			NGUYEN, SON XUAN		
	K, NY 10022-2585		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2664		
			DATE MAILED: 04/19/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/942,979		MATSUOKA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		SON X. NGUYEN	2664				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUT THE MAILING DATE OF - Extensions of time may be availal after SIX (6) MONTHS from the n - If the period for reply specified ab - If NO period for reply is specified - Failure to reply within the set or e	above, the maximum statutory period w ktended period for reply will, by statute, ater than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, m within the statutory minimum of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to becor	ay a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered time MONTHS from the mailing date of this one ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.			
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to com	munication(s) filed on 31 Au	<u>igust 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINA	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above class 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/a 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-5 and 17 ☐ Claim(s) 6-10 and 19	is/are rejected.	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed Applicant may not rec Replacement drawing	uest that any objection to the objection to the objection including the corrections.	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ drawing(s) be held in ab ion is required if the draw	objected to by the Examine eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 Cched Office Action or form P	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (P 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Pater 3) Information Disclosure Statem Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2/23/04	nt Drawing Review (PTO-948) ent(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper 5) Notice	iew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application (PTG) :	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/942,979

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)). Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being participated by Suzuki at el. (U.S 6,813,274) hereinafter referred to as Suzuki.

2. Regarding claim 1, Suzuki discloses a packet switch, comprising: N input buffer sections (Input ports 305-320 including input buffers in Fig. 4), provided corresponding to N input lines, for storing a packet input through the corresponding input lines;

alpha. scheduler sections (Scheduler 1 and 2 in Fig. 4) for determining one of m output lines as a destination of the packet stored in each of said n input buffer

Application/Control Number: 09/942,979

Art Unit: 2664

sections by a scheduling process independently performed by each scheduler section (Each scheduler receives and processes requests from input ports concurrently and independently; See lines 55-58 of column 3); and a switch section (Switches 1-4 in Fig. 4) for outputting the packet output from each of said n input buffer sections to the destination output line determined by said scheduler section, wherein said n input buffer sections cyclically use results of scheduling processes by said alpha. scheduler sections (Both Schedulers, 1 and 2, send grant messages, response to request, to Input port 1 through control line C1 and C2, so input port cyclically get these messages from these schedulers; See lines 22-24 and 31-34 of column 9).

Regarding claim 2, Suzuki discloses said scheduling process is performed by said scheduler section corresponding to a scheduling request notification transmitted from said N input buffer sections (Input port sends request on request line R1 for sending packet to scheduler; See lines 20-22 of column 9); and

each of said N input buffer sections distributes the scheduling request notifications among said scheduler sections as a destination (Input port sends request on request line R1 and R2 for sending packet to scheduler 1 and 2; See lines 20-22 and 29-31 of column 9).

Regarding claim 3, Suzuki discloses said input buffer sections have M queues

(Input buffers in Input port of Fig. 4) storing packets to be transmitted to the M output lines, and said scheduler sections, which are destinations of the scheduling request notifications, cyclically correspond to the M numbers of each queue (Scheduler 1)

Art Unit: 2664

receives request messages from all input ports, so it cyclically responds to them by sending back grant messages through control line C1 and C2;See lines 12-15 and 64-66 of column 9).

Regarding claim 4, Suzuki discloses said input buffer sections have said scheduler sections, which are destinations of the scheduling request notifications, cyclically correspond to the each input lines (Scheduler selects which output ports for received packet; See lines 12-15 of column 9).

Regarding claim 5, Suzuki discloses said input buffer sections have said scheduler sections, which are destinations of the scheduling request notifications, cyclically correspond to each of a unit time (only one request can be granted at a time for each input port; See lines 29-31 of column 3).

Regarding claim 11, Suzuki discloses said number .alpha. of said scheduler sections and the time of the scheduling process are set variable depending on the number N of the input lines and the number M of the output lines (The more schedulers operating concurrently and independently, more requests can be processed at one time for packet switching than by a single scheduler; See lines 27-30 of column 5).

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/942,979 Page 4

Art Unit: 2664

3. Claims 6-10 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- a) Suzuki at el. (U.S 6,813,274) Network switch and method for data switching using a crossbar switch fabric with output port groups operating concurrently and independently.
- b) Chow et al. (U.S 6,438,134) Two-component bandwidth scheduler having application in multi-class digital communications systems.
- c) Kamiya et al. (US 2002/0039364 A1) Two-dimensional pipelined scheduling technique.
- d) Matsuoka et al. (US 2001/0007562 A1) Packet switch device and scheduling control method.
- e) Jun Hasegawa (U.S 6,185,188) Method and apparatus for controlling physical port flow rate in packet communications.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to SON X. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6048. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 AM -5 PM.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,979

Art Unit: 2664

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Vanderpuye can be reached on 571-272-3078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

4/13/05 Son X. Nguyen

WELLINGTON CHIN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED